

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTOR	MEY DOCKET NO.
08/178,4	463 01	/06/94	WILSON	W	20264034US

12M2/0516

WILLIAM S. FEILER MORGAN & FINNEGAN 345 PARK AVE. NEW YORK, NY 10154

GOLDONRES J						
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
1205	<b>ラ</b> ユ					
DATE MAILED						

05/16/95

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

			ADVIOUITI AUT					
<b>⊠</b> TH	HE PERIO	OD FOR RESPONSE:						
a)	] is exter	nded to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
p) [	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	The da purpos	ite on which the response es of determining the per	, the petition, and the fee have been iod of extension and the correspondi	CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the a I filed is the date of the response and also the d ng amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursua atutory period for response or as set forth in b)	ate for the ant to 37 CFR			
A ←	ppellant's	Brief is due in accordance	ee with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
☐ Al	pplicant's place the	response to the final reje e application in condition	ction, filed has for allowance:	been considered with the following effect, but it	is not deemed			
1.	] The pro	posed amendments to th	e claim and /or specification will not l	pe entered and the final rejection stands becaus	se:			
		There is no convincing shoresented.	owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why th	e proposed amendment is necessary and was r	not earlier			
	ь. 🔲 Т	hey raise new issues tha	t would require further consideration	and/or search. (See Note).				
	c. 🔲 T	They raise the issue of ne	w matter. (See Note).					
		They are not deemed to appeal.	place the application in better form fo	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the	e issues for			
	е. 🔲	They present additional c	laims without cancelling a correspond	ding number of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE:							
2.		proposed or amended cl n-allowable claims.	aims would be a	llowed if submitted in a separately filed amendm	nent cancelling			
3. 😸		he filing an appeal, the prollows:	oposed amendment 🛂 will be ente	red  will not be entered and the status of the	e claims will			
	Claims	allowed:	≣					
	Claims	objected to: wow	e					
	Claims	rejected:						
		However;						
	☐ Ar	pplicant's response has o	vercome the following rejection(s):					
4.	_كاد	fidavit, exhibit or request	for reconsideration has been consider based on "my consideration has been consideration.	red but does not overcome the rejection because	so Chabway and			
5. 🗀	The aff preser		considered because applicant has r	not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was	s not earlier			
The	e propose	ed drawing correction	has has not been approved I	by the examiner.	w y			
☐ Ot	her			, ,	. GOLDBERG			

JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Serial No. 08/178,463

Art Unit 1205

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Rowinsky et al., Holmes et al. and Gilman et al. reference of record. The Rowinsky et al. teaches the applicant' taxol for 24 hours of 200-250mg/m² by i.v. infusion. Applicants are employing taxol for 36 hours at the Holmes et al. reference teaches taxol for treating breast cancer at 250mg/m² for 24 hours infusion every 21 days. The Gilman et al. reference teaches 30mg/m² per day for 5 days or 210mg - 250mg

Serial No. 08/178,463

Art Unit 1205

given every 3 weeks. Applicants are employing taxol for 96 hours at 140mg/m<sup>2</sup> by i.v. infusion. The difference is in the number of hours and the amount being employed. In view of this, one skilled in the art could be motivated to employ the i.v. infusion of the prior art for a long period of time in the absence of a side-by-side comparison.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 3-11 are improperly drawn to two different steps. The step of preparing an infusion solution and the step of infusion. The terms "at least 24 hours" in claims 1, 3 and 4 and "at least 96 hours" in claims 6-9 is indefinite in failing to recite an upper limit.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, room 3C10. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Goldberg at telephone number (703) 308-1235.

GOLDBERG:jd April 08, 1994 JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200